



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,702	01/09/2002	Claudio Cabano	33835	6219
116	7590	12/15/2004	EXAMINER	
PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			SHARMA, SUJATHA R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2684	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/890,702	CABANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sujatha Sharma	2684	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 January 2002.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/03/2001.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-4,10-15,29-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fraccaroli [US 2004/0002348].

Regarding claims 1,40 Fraccaroli discloses a mobile communication matching system. Fraccaroli further discloses a method to find members of a common interest group with a mobile device, wherein:

- at least one database is built in which a plurality of interest groups are stored, these interest groups comprising users of terminals, the database being stored in at least one server accessible from within a radio network; See paragraphs 10,14,27-29 and 38

- a message being sent to at least one member if he is in the vicinity of another member of a common interest group. See paragraphs 10, 57,58 and 59

Regarding claim 2, Fraccaroli further discloses a method wherein location determining means are provided that monitor the location of a plurality of members, and wherein said message is prepared by said server if it recognizes on the basis of the results of said location determining means that two members of the same interest group are in each other's vicinity. See paragraphs 10,14,27-29,38, 57-59.

Regarding claim 3, Fraccaroli further discloses a method wherein said location determining means determine the location of members through signals from a location determining satellite. See paragraph 37.

Regarding claim 4, Fraccaroli discloses a method wherein said location determining means determine the location of members through signals from a plurality of base stations in the radio network. See paragraph 36.

Regarding claims 10,11 Fraccaroli discloses a method wherein the user controls the triggering of the communication between the users . See paragraphs 51, 54.

Regarding claim 12, Fraccaroli further discloses a method wherein at least certain mobile

devices contain a close-range contactless interface, and wherein these mobile devices send said message over said contactless interface as soon as they find another mobile device in the vicinity belonging to a member of a common interest group. See paragraphs 8,59.

Regarding claims 13-15, Fraccaroli further discloses a method wherein the member can self-register in an interest group with their mobile devices. See paragraph 49.

Regarding claims 29,30, Fraccaroli discloses a method of one of the preceding claims, wherein the maximum distance between the members of a common interest group is determined by the manager or said member of said interest group in order to send said message. See paragraph 51,54.

Regarding claims 31,32 Fraccaroli discloses a method wherein certain members temporarily prevent messages from being sent to them about the presence of members of common interest groups. See paragraphs 51,54.

Regarding claim 33, Fraccaroli further discloses a method wherein said message contains identification characteristics that enable the identification of said nearby member. See paragraphs 49,53-55,57.

Regarding claim 34, Fraccaroli further discloses a method wherein said message contains the telephone number of said nearby member. See paragraph 57.

Regarding claims 35,36, Fraccaroli further discloses a method wherein said telephone number is not displayed. See paragraph 58.

Regarding claims 37,39 Fraccaroli further discloses a method wherein said message contains an identification of said participant that is necessary for a connection over the close-range contactless interface. See paragraphs 8,59.

Regarding claim 38, Fraccaroli further discloses a method wherein said close-range contactless interface is a Bluetooth interface. See paragraph 8, 59.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fraccaroli [US 2004/0002348].

Regarding claims 5-7, Fraccaroli discloses a method wherein said message is sent as a message signal. See paragraphs 57-59.

However he does not explicitly disclose a method wherein the message is sent as a SMS or USSD or a GPRS message.

However, these are standard protocols that are well known in the art for message delivery.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use one of these standard protocols available for delivering messages.

5. Claims 8,9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fraccaroli [US 2004/0002348] in view of Jones [US 6,763,300].

Regarding claims 8,9, Fraccaroli discloses all the limitations as claimed. He further discloses a method wherein the handset has browsing capability. However he does not explicitly disclose a method wherein the said message between the users is an IP packet or an email message.

Jones, in the same field of endeavor, teaches a method wherein the said message between the users is an IP packet or an email message. See col. 35, lines 22-35 and lines 55-56.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Jones to Fraccaroli in order to provide enhanced services to the user.

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fraccaroli [US 2004/0002348] in view of Sautter [US 6,233,248].

Regarding claim 16, Fraccaroli discloses all the limitations as claimed. However he does not disclose a method wherein the member can register with a voice message.

Sautter, in the same field of endeavor, teaches a method where the user can register using voice contact. See col. 36, lines 23-29.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Sautter to Fraccaroli since it is advantage of forming conference calls thus providing enhanced services to the user.

7. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fraccaroli [US 2004/0002348] in view of Mysore[US 6,304,558].

Regarding claim 17, Fraccaroli discloses all the limitations as claimed. However he does not disclose a method wherein the members can register with a interest group by Internet. Mysore, in the same field of endeavor, teaches a method where the user can register using Internet. See col. 4, lines 49-61.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Sautter to Fraccaroli since it has the advantage of forming chat room/ talk group thus providing enhanced services to the user.

8. Claim 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fraccaroli [US 2004/0002348] in view of Albanese [US 6,002,768].

Regarding claim 18, Fraccaroli discloses all the limitations as claimed. However he does not disclose a method wherein third parties register members with an interest group.

Albanese, in the same field of endeavor, teaches a method wherein third parties register members with an interest group. See col. 1, lines 55-59, col. 4, lines 1-37, col. 5, lines 15-67, col. 9, lines 27-36

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Albanese to Fraccaroli in order to provide a communication session in a decentralized manner.

Regarding claims 19,20 Albanese further discloses a method wherein membership with a group is certified. See col. 1, lines 55-59, col. 4, lines 1-37, col. 5, lines 15-67, col. 10, lines 20-55

Regarding claims 21,22 Albanese discloses a method wherein third parties file a registration certificate in said database. See col. 5, lines 15-67

Regarding claims 23,24 Albanese further discloses a method wherein at least certain members are only registered temporarily in an interest group. See col. 11, lines 61-64

Regarding claim 25, Albanese further discloses a method wherein said database is managed by the operator of said radio network, and wherein the registration with a group and/or the sending of said message is billed by said operator. See col. 9, lines 44-62

Regarding claim 26, Albanese further discloses a method wherein said database is managed by third parties. See col. 1, lines 55-59, col. 4, lines 1-37, col. 5, lines 15-67, col. 10, lines 20-55

Regarding claim 27, Albanese further discloses a method wherein said message is electronically signed. See col. 7, lines 5-35.

Regarding claim 28, Albanese further discloses a method wherein said message is electronically encrypted. See col. 7, lines 5-35.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hansson [US 6,400,942]	Method and system for broadcasting large short messages
Shaughnessy [US 5,928,325]	Method of dynamically establishing communication of incoming messages to one or more user devices presently available to an intended recipient
Mauney [US 2004/0116073]	Enhanced wireless handset including direct handset-to-handset communication mode
Tanaka [US 6,542,749]	Method and system for connecting proximately located mobile users based on compatible attributes
McDonald [US 6,411,816]	Method of registration in a communication system
Naddell [US 6,253,091]	Method and apparatus for establishing group communication
Toyryla [US 5,970,417]	Method for maintaining a group call
DeBrito [US 6,529,735]	Method and arrangement in a communication network
Ahya [US 6,600,928]	Method for establishing a temporary simplex call group in a wireless communication system

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sujatha Sharma whose telephone number is 703-305-5298. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7.30am - 4.00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 703-308-7745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Sujatha Sharma
December 8, 2004


NAY MAUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER